

# Contract Drafting with ChatGPT: Legal Innovation or Risky Business?

By Aaron Mayers 3PB

### **Introduction: The AI Balancing Act**

 Artificial Intelligence (AI) has stormed into the legal realm, raising exciting possibilities and some hair-raising questions. Could AI models like ChatGPT revolutionise the drafting of contracts? Or might they lead to costly errors and legal nightmares? Here's what we know so far:

## I. A New Dawn for Contract Drafting?

2. Some forward-thinking corners of the legal world are abuzz with anticipation over the potential for AI models like ChatGPT to streamline the contract drafting process. By analysing vast amounts of data and legal documents, AI can in theory draft contracts quickly and efficiently. The automation of routine legal tasks not only saves time and money but also frees up legal professionals to focus on more complex and valuable issues.

#### II. AI Advantages: Speed and Precision

3. Al holds a distinct edge over human lawyers in terms of speed and data processing capabilities. The ability to rapidly analyse past contracts, precedent, and legislation should in theory allow Al to draft contracts that are both legally robust and tailored to specific requirements. Moreover, the more it learns from its past work and data sources, Al can help identify potential risks, ensuring a more comprehensive approach to drafting.

#### III. Weighing the Pros and Cons

4. However, as with any technological advancement – particularly those in their early stages of development - Al-driven contract drafting has its pros and cons.

3PB

5. The obvious pros are:

• Efficiency: Al models can draft contracts in a fraction of the time taken by human

lawyers.

• Consistency: Automated contract drafting reduces the risk of human error and

inconsistencies.

Accessibility: Al makes legal services more accessible to the public by reducing costs.

6. The often-overlooked cons are:

• Limited understanding: Al models lack the human intuition and judgment needed to

grasp the nuances of certain contractual clauses. A contractual provision may be

perfect for one party because of the unique way in which it does business, but

disastrous to another. Who will discern this and ensure the AI takes this nuance into

account?

• Ethical concerns: Overreliance on Al may lead to and ethical dilemmas. Who is

responsible for the code which underpins the Al' output? What biases may have been

passed on to the AI? What assumptions and heuristics will make their way into the

drafting (or any other output)?

Liability: Al-generated errors could be costly. In that scenario, who is liable for a drafting

error?

IV. The Road to Flawless AI Contracts

7. Whilst Al models continue to improve, the prospect of flawless contract drafting remains a

distant goal. Al's capacity to evolve and learn from human input suggests that, with time,

we may see Al-generated contracts that are virtually error-free. However, the ever-

changing landscape of laws and regulations makes it unlikely that AI will completely

replace human expertise.

8. In my view, the responsible use of today's Al in drafting contracts must rely heavily on two

things:

(a) Expert prompting.

(b) Expert review.

3PB

What is prompting?

9. Prompting, in the context of AI, refers to providing an input, usually in the form of text, to

an AI language model, which then generates a relevant response or output based on the

given input. Essentially, the prompt serves as a starting point or trigger for the Al model to

understand the user's intent and respond accordingly.

10. Thorough and accurate prompting is essential when using AI to draft contracts, as it

ensures that the AI model accurately understands the user's intentions, requirements, and

desired outcomes. Precise and clear prompts could guide the AI in generating contract

clauses that are legally robust, relevant, and tailored to specific needs. A well-crafted

prompt reduces the risk of errors, ambiguities, or omissions, ultimately contributing to a

more reliable and efficient contract drafting process.

What is an expert likely to correct in AI drafted contracts?

11. The importance of expert human input in the creation of contracts, as opposed to relying

heavily on AI, lies in several key factors:

12. Nuance and intuition

Human legal experts possess the ability to grasp the subtleties and nuances of complex

legal situations. They can interpret the intentions of the parties involved and use their

judgment to draft clauses that accurately reflect those intentions. Al models, on the other

hand, may lack the necessary intuition to understand these complexities fully.

13. Adaptability

Laws and regulations are constantly evolving. Human legal professionals have the

capability to stay updated with these changes and apply them in drafting contracts. Al

models require continuous updating and training, which may not always keep pace with

the rapidly changing legal landscape.

14. Ethical considerations

Contracts often involve ethical and moral aspects that require human judgment. Legal

professionals can assess the fairness and equity of contractual terms, ensuring that the

contract is not only legally valid but also ethically sound. This is especially important where

the contract is being negotiated. Al models may not (yet) have the capacity to make these

evaluations effectively.

3PB

15. Negotiation and communication

Contract drafting is not a one-sided process. It often involves negotiation and communication between parties. Human legal experts can engage in these discussions, understand the concerns of each party, and find mutually beneficial solutions. All systems

may not be able to navigate interpersonal dynamics and build consensus as effectively as

humans.

16. Tailoring contracts to specific needs

While AI models can analyse vast amounts of data and identify patterns, they may struggle to account for unique or unconventional circumstances. Human legal experts can tailor contracts to suit the specific needs and goals of the parties involved, ensuring a more

customised approach.

V. Key Takeaways: Striking the Right Balance

17. Al models like ChatGPT present a promising future for contract drafting, with benefits such as increased efficiency, reduced errors, and greater accessibility. However, at least for now, it is essential to strike a balance between embracing these innovations and maintaining a healthy dose of human judgment. As the legal landscape evolves, combining Al-driven technology with human expertise will be crucial to navigating the complex world

of contract drafting.

This document is not intended to constitute and should not be used as a substitute for legal advice on any specific matter. No liability for the accuracy of the content of this document, or the consequences of relying on it, is assumed by the author. If you seek further information,

please contact the 3PB clerking team at  $\underline{\text{David.Fielder@3pb.co.uk}}.$ 

28 March 2023



Aaron Mayers
Barrister

3PB

01865 793736

aaron.mayers@3pb.co.uk

3pb.co.uk