

Matthew Wyard

Year of Call: 2014

Email Address: matthew.wyard@3pb.co.uk

Telephone: 020 7583 8055



Overview

Matthew Wyard provides advice and representation on public law matters concerning education, health and social care, as well as private client matters, predominantly (but not exclusively) in the Court of Protection. He is described in the directories as *"meticulous in his preparation and has a great way with clients, putting them at ease"*, *"is very detailed and will go that extra step in order to present the strongest possible case"* and whose *"advocacy is well beyond his year of call"* (Legal 500).

For more information on Matthew's specialist practice areas please see his area specific profiles below.

Matthew prides himself on *"excellent, comprehensive and practical"* advice as well as his *"exemplar"* client care (Chambers UK).

Matthew is a widely published legal author. He is a contributing author to the Education Law Handbook, the leading text for education law practitioners and a contributing editor to Clarke Hall and Morrison on Children. He regularly writes for Lexis PSL and Practical Law and has also been published in The Times Higher Education, the Education Law Monitor and the Solicitors Journal.

Matthew is committed to protecting and respecting your privacy. Please contact him for a copy of his privacy notice which sets out the basis upon which any personal data he may receive will be protected.

Recommendations

Matthew Wyard is skilled in handling public law challenges arising from decisions made in relation to education. He is a member of the Attorney General's 'C' panel.

Chambers UK 2024/Administrative and Public Law/Regions

Matthew Wyard acts for a wide range of clients, including several individuals and education institutions. He is particularly well regarded for this work before the SEN Upper Tribunal and offers additional expertise in judicial reviews.

Strengths: "He is very good and knowledgeable in the education law field."

"Matthew is very good at reviewing papers and picking up information and facts very quickly, and he is always on top of things."

Chambers UK 2024/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "He is an outstanding advocate with a fantastic grasp of complex, factual cases and a thorough and detailed knowledge of the legal framework. His client care is exemplary."

"Matthew is capable of dealing with complex matters at a rapid pace."

"Matthew works tirelessly for his clients and puts up quite a performance within the special needs tribunals."

Chambers UK 2023/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "He is proactive, very knowledgeable and good at what he does." "His advice is excellent – comprehensive and very practical as well."

Recent work: Acted for the successful respondent in Nottinghamshire County Council v SF & Others, a challenge to the approach taken by the Upper Tribunal to a 'refusal to issue' appeal.

Chambers UK 2022/Education/London Bar

'His knowledge and expertise make him a natural choice to instruct on judicial review matters. He knows the Welsh legislation inside out. He is tactically very astute in such challenges and delivers advice in a timely and sensitive manner.'

Legal 500 2024/Administrative and Public Law/Leading Juniors/Wales and Chester

'Matthew is quick to get to the crux of a case and exhibits particular expertise in cases involving special educational needs. His ability to look at a case from all sides is a particular strength.'

Legal 500 2024/Education/Leading Juniors/London Bar

'He quickly picks the issues in the case and is particularly great in a round table meeting which includes litigants in person. He is able to clearly take the parties through the main issues, which helps to progress the matter and discussion.'

Legal 500 2024/Court of Protection and Community Care/Leading Juniors/Wales and Chester – Ranked in Tier 1

'He is calm under pressure, very reassuring with clients and has a keen eye for detail. He is measured in his approach and has particular expertise within the area of special educational needs law within Wales. He is fast becoming the go-to barrister in this area of law, which has seen major changes over the last couple of years. He appropriately adapts his style of advocacy to the relevant forum and is respected by fellow barristers and judges alike.'

Legal 500 2024/Education/Leading juniors/Wales and Chester – Ranked in Tier 1

'Matthew is meticulous with his preparation and has a great way with clients, putting them at ease so that they can give clear and cogent evidence. His advocacy is well beyond his year of call.'

Legal 500 2023/Education/Leading Juniors/London Bar

'Matthew is very detailed and will go that extra step further in order to present the strongest case possible. He is very approachable and clients like him.'

Legal 500 2023/Education/Leading juniors/Wales and Chester – Ranked in Tier 1

'An excellent advocate.'

Legal 500 2023/Court of Protection and Community Care/Leading Juniors/Wales and Chester – Ranked in Tier 1

'A junior with good client care skills, and his advice is well-considered, comprehensive and clear.'

Legal 500 2022/Court of Protection and Community Care/Rising Stars/Wales and Chester – Ranked in Tier 1

'A strong and detailed advocate who quickly identifies the relevant issues in a case. He has the ear of the Tribunal.'

Legal 500 2022/Education/Rising Stars/Tier 1/Wales and Chester

"[...] the addition of Matthew Wyard to the team has given real fire power to their Court of Protection offering in Wales."

'His in-depth knowledge of Welsh social care law is an advantage.'

Legal 500 2021/Court of Protection and Community Care/Rising Stars/Tier 1/Wales and Chester

"[...] 3PB's presence in this region comes in the form Matthew Wyard... He specialises in public law challenges in the education sector, civil claims against education institutions and special educational needs appeals."

'One of very few barristers in the Country who has a true grasp of Welsh Education Law.'

Legal 500 2021/Education/Rising Stars/Tier 1/Wales and Chester

"Thank you again for the excellent outcome you obtained for us yesterday. This note is also very helpful. I have shared it with our wider team because I am sure that the outcome will assist with the handling of many of the other data protection claims across [the organisation] at the moment.

It was a stroke of luck for us that you were able to assist with this matter at short notice and your work is very much appreciated. "

Instructing Solicitor, Data protection matter

"I did also want to mention that...we have received some excellent feedback recently for 3PB and thought it would be good to share this with you. I have received excellent reports from [team members] and most importantly of all, my clients, regarding the high quality and standard of the work that we are receiving...specifically in relation to...Matthew...I have worked closely with Matthew on one of my cases recently and I am hugely impressed, as are the clients, over his handline and management of the case. The clients are absolutely delighted with his professionalism, conduct and communication. This is a case where it has gone part-heard and the clients do not seem to mind a bit as Matthew has been just excellent"

Partner/Head of Education – National Law Firm

"I instruct Matthew regularly and am never disappointed by the level of professionalism and knowledge he brings to each case he is instructed on. Matthew has a unique way of identifying the key issues of a case and preparing submissions in a clear and persuasive manner, in order to achieve the best result possible. I can trust that he will always provide honest and efficient advice and am happy to have good working relationship with him."

Instructing Solicitor

As you can imagine, it is extremely stressful for families to be going through this so I just wanted to say a big thank you for supporting me through [the] tribunal. I would recommend you to anyone going through similar proceedings. We are delighted with the outcome and have not stopped smiling since!

Client, Education

Firstly, the client would like for me to pass on their gratitude to Matt for all of his assistance on this matter. They described him as "a really nice guy who fought formidably for them and have nothing but praise for the work he has undertaken".

Clients feedback to instructing solicitor

Thank you so much for recommending and introducing us to Matthew. We've effectively been on hold for two years waiting to hear from the Coroner's. It was painful for us to engage again with the legal process. We appreciate that you also experienced difficult emotions. We were on a very long journey that ultimately ended in tragedy, so we'd be lying to say we weren't dreading the meeting at 3PB.

Matthew was so professional, on point and informative. But above all, he was kind and empathetic. We didn't feel pushed into making a decision, but ultimately, his clarity in describing the potential road ahead allowed us to make a pragmatic decision. We did leave the Chambers convinced that pushing forward was the right thing to do. However, as the night wore on, and emotions fluctuated we were able to come to the view that there is little point in pushing for an Inquest to be opened. It's a huge weight off our shoulders and we'd be grateful if you could convey to Matthew just how pivotal he was in helping us reach this point of release.

Clients feedback to instructing solicitor

Academic qualifications

- LL.B (Hons) Law, Upper Second Class, University of Surrey
- Pg Dip (BPTC), Very Competent, City Law School

Scholarships

- Erasmus Scholarship
- Blackstone Chambers BPTC Mooting Competition, Semi finalist, 2013

Professional qualifications & appointments

- Chair of the Valuation Tribunal for England
- Attorney General's C Panel of Counsel to the Crown
- Committee member, Court of Protection Practitioner's Association, Midlands

Professional bodies

- Lincoln's Inn
- Western Circuit
- Education Law Association
- Court of Protection Bar Association
- Constitutional and Administrative Law Bar Association

Expertise

Administrative and Public Law

Matthew is an expert in public law and judicial review. He is a member of the Attorney General's C Panel of Counsel to the Crown so regularly acts for Central Government, but is equally comfortable advising local authorities, companies, charities and individuals or providing strategic advice to regulators. He accepts instructions for urgent and out of hours applications as well as international matters.

Matthew's experience in administrative and public law is broad and includes matters as diverse as: education, health, social care, immigration, asylum, freedom of information, planning, human rights, pharmaceuticals, professional regulation, commercial judicial review.

Matthew maintains a non contentious and contentious practice.

Recent examples of non contentious work include advising on the following matters:

- A challenge to a planning authority's decision on *Kides* grounds
- A proposed traffic management order in a London Borough and its impact on disabled residents locally
- The limits of an inspection authority's vires and the point at which it became functus
- The legality and public consultation requirements of amending a regulators complaints process
- The risks to the regulator associated with the implementation of a new Bill
- Whether a novel business idea would require registration with a particular regulator
- Whether a regulator was obliged, pursuant to 'Managing Public Money' to seek to recover its costs following a successful judicial review challenge
- The prioritization process to consider complaints under an ombudsman scheme
- How long a regulator was required to retain various documents under the UK GDPR
- The correct interpretation of an ombudsman schemes enabling act and whether it could investigate a company, as well as an individual
- Which public body was the correct body to employ a particular individual under the statutory scheme
- Whether employees could lawfully consume medicinal cannabis in the workplace and the risks arising to the public authority
- The enforceability of a historic covenant contained in an Abstract pre dating 1926
- The legal risks associated with a public consultation
- The applicability in the jurisdiction of an international certificate of good conduct

Examples of Matthew's recent contentious public law practise includes:

- *THTN v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2023] EWCA Civ 1222 – junior counsel for the Respondent in this appeal concerning the proper interpretation of the Supreme Court's decision in *AM (Zimbabwe)*
- *Land Adjacent to HMP Garth and Wymott* – junior counsel in this long running planning inquiry over the building of a so called 'mega prison' near Chorley
- *MA v E Council* – Advising upon and settling Summary Grounds of Resistance in this age assessment judicial review
- *R(F) v H Council* – advised upon and settled the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review under s19 of the Education Act 1996

- R(U) v H Council – advised upon and settled the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review under s42 of the Children and Families Act 2014
- R(A) v An Ombudsman – settling the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review challenge to the ombudsman’s preliminary decision that the dispute was more appropriately dealt with by a court rather than the ombudsman
- R(L) v An Ombudsman – settling the summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review challenge to the legality of the ombudsman’s refusal to consider a complaint due to it being more appropriately dealt with by a court and that there were other compelling reasons not to investigate
- R(RN) v An Ombudsman – settling the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review challenge to the Ombudsman’s decision to refuse to investigate a complaint that was submitted out of time.
- Acting successfully for the Home Office in the leading Country Guidance case on Somalia: OA v SSHD [2022] UKUT 00033 (IAC).
- Representing the successful Respondent in Nottinghamshire County Council v SF & Ors [2020] EWCA Civ 226 considering the meaning of “necessary” under s37 Children and Families Act 2014.
- Seeking permission to appeal to the Court of Appeal in D v Hampshire County Council [2020] EWHC 2916 (Admin).
- Topham v Ministry of Justice – Successfully represented the defendant and secured the strike out of the claimant’s Article 8 Human Rights Act challenge
- R(A) v B Council – Advising the claimant on a potential judicial review challenge to the defendant council’s direct payment scheme.
- R(H) v X CCG – Advising the claimant in relation to a potential judicial review against the CCG’s failure to secure continuing care provision for a child with a life limiting medical condition.
- R(E) v Norfolk County Council (unreported) – Settled the Statement of Facts and Grounds in this judicial review challenge which settled following the filling of summary Grounds of Resistance
- X v G College (unreported): representing the college against a leading education law silk in a judicial review against its decision to exclude a student.
- YMC v Office of Intercollegiate Studies (unreported): representing the claimant in what is thought to be the first judicial review challenge brought against the Office of Intercollegiate Studies.
- EW v S County Council : advising on and settling the response to a judicial review pre action protocol letter concerning alleged breaches of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act
- E v H County Council : advising the Defendant local authority on an expedited judicial review claim; settled the Detailed Grounds of Resistance; advising on settlement
- MA v B University : advising on the grounds for judicial review arising from a university’s failure to grant extenuating circumstances.
- JP v IAP : advising on the legality of an Independent Admissions Panel decision.
- AT v An Academy : advising on the grounds of challenge to an Academy’s procedure for conducting a managed move which successfully settled at the pre action stage
- Re: DR : advising on the merits of bringing a judicial review claim against a London Borough for maintaining a policy on not placing children below 16 in children’s homes.

Court of Protection

Matthew is a Leading Junior in Court of Protection and is described as “*an excellent advocate*” (Legal 500, 2022) whose “*encyclopaedic knowledge of both English and Welsh social care law is an advantage*” (Legal 500, 2021).

He undertakes the entire range of instructions in the Court of Protection in both the health & welfare and property & affairs jurisdictions and represents all parties to proceedings. More detail on each area is set out below.

In 2023, Matthew started 3PB's Court of Protection and Community Care Podcast: *CopComm*.

Property and Affairs

Much of Matthew's Court of Protection practise falls under its property and affairs jurisdiction. Matthew regularly represents the Office of the Public Guardian, local authorities, individuals, the Official Solicitor, professional deputies and trustees. He is familiar with issues such as elder abuse, inheritance tax, capital gains tax, statutory Wills, gifting, powers of attorney, deputyships, personal injury trusts.

Representative examples of Matthew's work include:

- OPG v SH & Ors – representing the successful applicant in an application for declarations as to P's capacity to execute lasting powers of attorney. Involved detailed legal arguments around the different relevant information for managing property and affairs/executing an LPA
- ZG v ED – advising the respondent in a contested deputyship application. Issues arising include a property sale and the capital gains implications for P's estate
- (1) A (2) G v A professional deputy – Successfully defending a professional deputy in an application to discharge their deputyship. Issues included whether the applicant had brought the application on the correct legal basis
- OPG v RL – Successfully representing the Applicant in proceedings seeking an order to cancel the registration of an LPA due to P lacking capacity at the time it was made
- OPG v SLH & Ors – Representing the OPG in proceedings seeking to cancel the registration of an LPA and secure the granting of a professional deputy to protect P from financial abuse
- OPG v JMG – Representing the OPG in proceedings seeking to cancel the registration of an LPA due to the attorney financially abusing P.
- OPG v G – Representing the OPG in proceedings seeking to cancel a deputyship order due to financial abuse on the part of the deputy
- KSC v S Council – Defending an application which proceeded to a contested final hearing seeking to discharge a deputyship order. Involved the cross examination of an incapacitated individual on their wishes and feelings which was described as "skillful" and "sensitive" by the judge.
- Office of the Public Guardian v CE – Advising and representing the defendant attorney in proceedings brought by the OPG to cancel Lasting Powers of Attorney for both property/affairs and health/welfare on the ground that P had capacity at the point of execution
- Office of the Public Guardian v MS – Advising and representing the defendant attorney in proceedings brought by the OPG to cancel registration of a Lasting Power of Attorney for health and welfare on the basis that P had capacity at the point of execution
- G v G – Advising and representing a family member opposed to her siblings application for property and affairs deputyship over their mother due to concerns about financial abuse
- Office of the Public Guardian v LK – Advising and representing the defendant attorney on an application for removal sought by the OPG
- Re: AA – Advising a HNW client in conference and in writing on the options for protecting the assets of an incapacitated family member, including considering the appropriateness of a deputyship order or the settlement of a trust structure
- Re: JS – Advising professional deputies on the proper construction of an indemnity clause within a PPO arising from a £1.7m clinical negligence settlement and on their obligations pursuant to the same
- Re: DS – Advising an attorney on the legalities and procedure surrounding the transfer of property at an undervalue within civil proceedings where the defendant had lost capacity
- GB v SW – Advising and representing the defendant family member contesting a property and affairs deputyship application on the basis of alleged historic financial abuse
- S City Council v KSC – Advising and representing a local authority in a contested application for a deputyship order over P's property and affairs following his falling victim to online fraud. Involved issues of online romance scamming and

international money laundering.

- Re: LCD – Advising a national law firm’s private client department on the risks arising out of the transfer of property from a PI trust and the appropriate method of making the transfer.

Health and Welfare

Matthew regularly represents the Official Solicitor, family members, RPRs and local authorities in the range of health and welfare matters coming before the Court of Protection. His background in public law and education law, means that he can offer consistency of representation across all areas where the protected party is an adolescent. As such, he is regularly sought after by local authorities to advise on cross over cases where social care and education responsibilities are at the fore.

Representative examples of Matthew’s health and welfare work includes:

- TQ v (1) A Health Board (2) A Local Authority – representing the LA in a complex s21A matter where P absconded from multiple care homes and travelled between England and Wales.
- H Council v JB – Advising and representing a local authority in respect of a section 16 challenge concerning P’s capacity to engage in sexual relations and make decisions concerning his education.
- B CCG v HJ & Ors – Advising and representing P, through the Official Solicitor, in a section 21A challenge concerning a Third Party Personal Health Budget, as well as an urgent issue regarding international travel.
- County Council v JAS – Advising and representing a local authority in a section 21A application within which there were issues concerning P’s habitual residence.
- B Council v PM – Advising and representing a local authority in respect of a DoLS challenge where P resides at an independent specialist college and the interplay between the education and DoLS schemes.
- Re: SB – Advising a family member and corresponding with a local authority on their behalf concerning allegations that the local authority was unlawfully preventing them from seeing their adult children.
- Re: MR – Advising and representing P in a dispute over a ward change following the Covid-19 pandemic.
- KH v S County Council – Advising a local authority on the interplay between the different regimes under the Mental Health Act 1983, s21A Mental Capacity Act and s39 Children and Families Act 2014.
- S City Council v JDC & Ors – Advising and representing a family member in this long running s21A and contact challenge.
- CEM – Representing P in a dispute over her end of life arrangements.

Inherent jurisdiction of the High Court/Safeguarding of vulnerable adults and children

Much of Matthew’s work involves safeguarding. Where appropriate, Matthew is happy to represent parties before the Family Division of the High Court in proceedings under the Inherent Jurisdiction. Recent examples include:

- Re: C – Advising a professional deputy as to safeguarding an incapacitated 16 year old from family members refusing to allow him access to court approved therapeutic support.
- E County Council v CM & Ors – Advising and representing the applicant local authority in Tier 3 proceedings concerning international abduction of an incapacitous adult.
- Re: DN – representing an independent school in emergency proceedings issued under the Inherent Jurisdiction to authorize a child’s deprivation of liberty in an unregulated placement. Matthew also advised on the concurrent threatened Administrative Court proceedings against the school.
- Re: AA – representing a family member in proceedings issued under the Inherent Jurisdiction concerning International Child Abduction.

Medical treatment

Matthew is developing a medical treatment focus to his practise and is happy to advise parties to medical treatment proceedings on an out of hours/emergency basis. To date, medical treatment matters he has been involved with include:

- NT v An NHS Trust and Ors – representing a respondent in a dispute concerning P’s mental health treatment.
- Re: JC – representing the family members in a dispute concerning whether or not P should receive dental treatment.

Education

Matthew is a trusted and respected adviser to the education sector and those operating within it, having practised in the field of education law since before his call to the Bar. He is recognised in both of the leading legal directories. Having spent a significant time practicing in Wales, Matthew is experienced in advising on the devolved education settlement in Wales.

Adopting a sector based approach, the kind of areas Matthew advises/represents institutions in includes the following:

Judicial Review: Most of Matthew’s instructions in relation to the education sector involve advising, drafting or representation before the High Court in respect of judicial reviews concerning education. He acts for claimants, defendant and interested parties before the High Court.

Governance and organisation: Having co-authored the school reorganisation chapter of the leading education law textbook, Matthew is regularly sought after to assist local authorities and other proposers with drafting documentation or advising on the process for school re-organisation. He is equally happy to advise and represent those wishing to challenge school re-organisation decisions by way of judicial review. As a non executive director of a higher education institution he is happy to advise on all manner of HEI governance issues, including registration with the Office for Students.

Data protection/information law: Benefitting from an established data protection/privacy practice, Matthew is sought after to advise schools, universities and local authorities on their data protection obligations.

SEN: Matthew regularly appear before the Upper Tribunal, First Tier Tribunal and Education Tribunal for Wales in SEN appeals. He also offers strategic advice and support to local authorities and schools in managing disputes that arise.

Equality Act claims: Whilst defending institutions before the First Tier Tribunal makes up the majority of Matthew’s equality practice, he is equally happy to appear before the County Court defending universities or schools in relation to any matter arising under the Equality Act 2010.

Breach of contract/negligence: Having spent his first few years of practice in the litigation department of a specialist education firm he has a solid track record in bringing and defending civil challenges against educational institutions including universities, and independent schools.

Land/planning issues: Matthew is familiar with the English planning law system, having appeared before Planning Inquiries and given planning advice on a variety of issues. With his knowledge of the education sector he is well placed to advise on these issues within the context of school organization (see Matthew’s property profile for more details).

Transport: Matthew has a working knowledge of the English and Welsh law on school transport and regularly advises on the same.

Regulation/reporting: Having previously been on secondment to an education regulator, Matthew is extremely comfortable advising on regulatory issues arising from school inspections, as well as obtaining urgent interim relief to prevent report publication. He also has experience in challenging Ofsted decision making in the Care Standards Tribunal, and in representing teachers before the TRA.

Examples of recent cases Matthew has been involved with within the education sector include:

- AB v Newport City Council [2022] UKUT 190 (AAC) – represented the successful Appellant in this appeal to the Upper Tribunal which clarified the law in relation to appeals from the Special Educational Needs Tribunal for Wales.
- Nottinghamshire County Council v SF & GD [2020] EWCA Civ 226 – represented the successful Respondents in the Court of Appeal in this decision clarifying the correct approach to s37 Children and Families Act 2014

- D v Hampshire County Council [2020] EWHC 2916 (Admin) – represented the Appellant before the High Court seeking leave to appeal to the Court of Appeal
- X v L University – advising and drafting a Defence to a claim for breach of statutory duty under the UK GDPR
- M v C University – drafting and representing the defendant university in an application to strike out a claim for breach of statutory duty under the UK GDPR and misuse of private information
- F v H Council (unreported) – advised upon and settled the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review under s19 of the Education Act 1996
- U v H Council (unreported) – advised upon and settled the Summary Grounds of Resistance in this judicial review under s42 of the Children and Families Act 2014
- X v G College (unreported): representing the college against a leading education law silk in a judicial review against its decision to exclude a student.
- YMC v Office of Intercollegiate Studies (unreported): representing the claimant in what is thought to be the first judicial review challenge brought against the Office of Intercollegiate Studies.
- H v D School: Successfully representing the school in an educational negligence claim against a leading silk.
- Re: BCC – Advising a proposer on a school re-organisation project to change a school from single sex to co-educational and drafting various documentation for the same.
- Re: CSDN – Advising the appellant nursery in proceedings before the Care Standards Tribunal
- Advising a regulator on the likely enforceability of a historic covenant contained in an Abstract pre dating 1926 Advising on the legal risks associated with a public consultation
- Drafting online Government guidance in relation to registration with a regulator
- Advising an inspection authority on the limits of its powers and when it becomes functus
- Advising on the legality of amending a regulators complaints process
- Advising a regulator on the risks associated with the implementation of a new Bill
- Advising a regulator on whether a novel business idea would require registration with it

Articles

3PB's specialist Court of Protection barrister [Matthew Wyard](#) explores the recent Court of Protection property and affairs case of *Irwin Mitchell Trust Corporation v (1) PW (2) the Public Guardian* [2024] EWCOP 16.

[View Article](#)

Matthew Wyard on the recent Court of Protection property & affairs decisions of *PSG Trust Corporation Ltd v CK & Re: P (Statutory Will)*.

In *PSG Trust Corporation Ltd v CK* [2024] EWCOP 14, the Court considered how a property and affairs deputy should approach the issue of whether to inform P of the value of a civil litigation settlement.

Re: P (Statutory Will) [2024] EWCOP 12 concerned an application to amend a statutory will, for which the Court had to consider if unidentified charity beneficiaries had to be served with the application to amend in accordance with the requirements of paragraph 9 of Practice Direction 9E.

[View Article](#)

3PB's specialist Court of Protection barrister [Matthew Wyard](#) has written on the recent Court of Protection property and affairs decision of *TA v the Public Guardian*, a case confirming the scope of a certificate provider's duties when executing a LPA.

[View Article](#)

Articles

Matthew Wyard has written an update on the right to file a Claimant's Reply which comes into effect on 06 April 2024.

It has long been customary practice for Claimants to file a Reply document following receipt of a Defendant's Acknowledgement of Service in judicial review proceedings. This is despite there being no provision in the Civil Procedure Rules allowing a Claimant to do so.

[View Article](#)

Data protection for schools and higher education institutions

Matthew Wyard highlights the rights of access to data from schools and colleges and specifically what constitutes 'education data'. The new Data Sharing Code of Practice from the ICO is also scrutinised for how it assists the higher education sector in situations of crisis on campus.

[View Article](#)

3PB's specialist regulatory law barrister Matthew Wyard reviews the case of A Local Authority v GP (Capacity – care, support and education) [2020] EWCOP 56.

[View Article](#)

Public law barrister Matthew Wyard, who specialises in the education sector reviews the hidden extras in 'The Additional Learning Needs and Education Tribunal (Wales) Act 2018 (Commencement No.1) Order 2020 which came into force this Monday 2 November.

[View Article](#)

3PB's specialist education barristers Alice de Coverley and Matthew Wyard have co-authored a two-part report, for students and schools separately, about today's GCSE results day (20 August 2020).

The guidance offers tips for students and their parents about the GCSE grade that students will receive; the appeals process in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland; Exam results Helplines available; Subject Access Requests for finding out information to support you in pushing for an appeal; grounds for appeals; Centres' duties to students/learners.

[View Article](#)

In this article, Alice de Coverley and Matthew Wyard answer some of the most significant questions facing both students and schools on A-level results day 2020, providing practical advice on what to do next.

[View Article](#)

3PB's specialist public law barrister Matthew Wyard has reviewed the draft Curriculum and Assessment (Wales) bill. The bill was introduced to the Senedd on 6 July 2020 without prior public consultation.

[View Article](#)

Matthew Wyard and Alice de Coverley have produced a guide to remote hearings in SENDIST including practical top tips for both practitioners and witnesses. They cover topics from using technology to adapting your approach to this new style of hearing.

[View Article](#)

Matthew Wyard considers the meaning of "reasonable endeavours" in public and private law following the modification of the LA's duty to secure special educational provision specified in an EHC Plan under section 42 to a reasonable endeavours duty, and the likely approach of the Administrative Court to applications to enforce provision in Section F via judicial review.

[View Article](#)

Education law barrister, Matthew Wyard examines the impact of the Coronavirus Bill on the independent education sector.

[View Article](#)

Education and public law barrister Matthew Wyard and Paul Wyard of Sinclairslaw review Nottinghamshire CC v SF and another, a case in which the Court of Appeal held that the First-Tier Tribunal had correctly construed the meaning of 'necessary' in section 37(1) of the Children and Families Act 2014 (CFA 2014) in finding that it was necessary for special educational provision to be made for a child in accordance with an EHC Plan – even though the school had identified and made provisions for the child's needs and the child was making progress at school.

This article was first published by LexisPSL on 9 March 2020.

[View Article](#)