


John Friel

Year of Call: 1974

Email Address: john.friel@3pb.co.uk

Telephone: 020 7583 8055



Overview

John Friel is a highly experienced practitioner with particular expertise in public law issues. He has developed a strong practice with extensive experience acting for local authorities, private applicants, charities, independent schools, maintained schools governing bodies and provides a prompt response to instructions.

He has won leading cases in the House of Lords, Court of Appeal, and High Court. He is available for direct client access in certain cases.

Recommendations

John Friel is a hugely experienced and impressive education barrister, who regularly advises on disability matters. He is routinely sought out by educational institutions in both the private and public sectors, and represents charities and governing bodies. His knowledge of the sector often sees him appearing before the House of Lords, the High Court, and the Upper Tribunal.

Strengths: "John is a big hitter in education and he has been at the forefront of the sector for many, many years." "John Friel has a wealth of expertise and experience and we all benefit from working alongside him."

Chambers UK 2025/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "John's quality of work, insight and advocacy will come as no surprise to anyone who has worked with or against him."

"John is an expert in education law."

"You want John in your corner on complex cases."

Chambers UK 2024/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "John is an excellent barrister who gets to the core issues of a case quickly, and his client care is phenomenal." "John is a legend in SEND work."

"John has an exceptional understanding of education law and understands the issues being faced by the client. He is a great source of reassurance."

Chambers UK 2023/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "Very experienced and very, very well respected."

Chambers UK 2022/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "Tactically astute and very aware of himself in court." "He is very clever and his legal knowledge is phenomenal."

Chambers UK 2021/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "He can get to grips with a complex case in minutes and then advise comprehensively on strategy."

Chambers UK 2020/Education/London Bar

"His years in practice mean that John can easily get to grips with the complex facts of a special education needs case in minutes and then advise comprehensively on strategy. In the tribunal setting he is a notable adversary who is able to persuade panels to his way of thinking with charisma and expertise."

Chambers UK 2019/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "One of the lead barristers in SEN in the country. He has been involved in some of the landmark cases of the past 30 years, and is an excellent advocate with a brilliant legal mind. John's involvement in tribunal proceedings usually means that a case does not go all the way to a final hearing."

Chambers UK 2018/Education/London Bar

Strengths: "He is well organised and extremely good at advancing clients' cases." "He has an encyclopaedic knowledge of SEN in particular."

Chambers UK 2017/Education/London Bar

'One of the most experienced junior counsel in this field, he is a popular choice for individuals and parents facing educational issues that require close examination of policy, such as SEN assessment challenges.

Strengths: "He performs excellently and knows the law better than many of the judges do nowadays as he has written chunks of it. He is incredibly quick at processing large quantities of information, and is very forensic and thorough in his investigation of the facts."

Chambers UK 2016/Education/London Bar

'A superb advocate, who is very approachable and always well prepared'

'He is a specialist in the field and he wins.'

Chambers UK 2015/Education/London Bar

'Possessed of vast experience and knowledge, he has unique forensic and analytic skills combined with a detailed understanding. You know you're in very safe hands, and he gives you the feeling that you're really supported.'

Chambers UK 2014/Education/London Bar

'John Friel is armed with 'incredible experience and knowledge,' and has 'detailed understanding of special educational needs cases.' 'A skilled, articulate advocate,' he 'always handles matters expeditiously.'

Chambers UK 2013/Education/London Bar

'John Friel remains a popular choice amongst claimant solicitors. He is admired for his wealth of experience in the sector, and is recognised as 'a committed advocate who does not take no for an answer.'

Chambers UK 2012/Education/London Bar

John Friel is acknowledged in the Legal 500 2023/Leading Individual - Education, London edition.

John Friel is acknowledged in the **Legal 500 2022**/Leading Individual - Education, London edition.

'He is an expert in SEN and has deep knowledge of procedure.'

Legal 500 2021/Leading Individual - Education

'He is an expert in SEN and has deep knowledge of procedure.'

Legal 500 2020/Leading Individual - Education

'Handles a huge range of special educational needs cases.'

Legal 500 2018/19/Leading Individual - Education

'An utterly brilliant advocate, who goes above and beyond for his clients.'

Legal 500 2017/Leading Individual - Education

'A maverick in the area and definitely a safe pair of hands.'

Legal 500 2016/Leading Individual - Education

'Particularly strong on SEN issues.'

Legal 500 2015/Education

'Noted for his experience acting for independent schools, maintained schools and governing bodies.'

Legal 500 2014/Education

Expertise

Education

John has handled a large number of highly complex, complicated and difficult cases in the First-tier Tribunal. The amount of tribunal cases has increased, and the cases have increased in complexity, and in difficulty. John has achieved a commendable degree of success in such cases.

Publications

Special Needs and Legal Entitlement: The Essential Guide to Getting out of the Maze, written by John Friel and Melinda Nettleton, is a straightforward and comprehensive guide to the legal rights of children and young people with special educational needs, and to the Children and Families Act 2014.

Recent cases:

K v The School and the Special Needs Tribunal, Court of Appeal [2007] ELR 234

John represented the school which established the nature of the defence of justification in Disability Discrimination. It is the leading case on Justification.

L v Clarke and Somerset [1998] ELR 128

This is the leading case on the rights of children with statements of special educational needs.

School Admission Appeals Panel for the London Borough of Hounslow v The Mayor & Burgesses of the London Borough of Hounslow (2002) ELR 602

This case establishing at long last, despite contrary dicta in the Court of Appeal, and some first instance decisions to the contrary that the Human Rights Act does have a significant effect upon school admission appeals, and education law generally. At p.602 May LJ specifically singled out Mr Friel's submissions for favourable comment. Confirmed Gloucestershire LEA.

Gloucestershire Local Education Authority v Gloucestershire Schools Appeal Panel (2002) ELR 309 John appeared for the parents, successfully.

O v The London Borough of Harrow & Sherwin (2002) ELR 195

Court of Appeal allowed the parents appeal, John representing the parents, on the issue of the structure of the appellate process under the 1996 Education Act.

B v Hammersmith and Fulham LBC [2008] EWHC 2094 (Admin)

John represented a child who appealed against a decision that the respondent local authority had correctly decided to place her at a maintained special school for pupils with a range of special educational needs rather than an independent school for pupils with speech, language and communication difficulties.

B County Council v H [2008] EWHC 1070 (Admin)

An appeal by a LEA against the decision of a Special Needs Education Tribunal to move a child with ADHD and Autism to another school.

Disciplinary and Regulatory Proceedings

John's work in this area naturally overlaps with his work in Judicial Review and Local Government. His wide ranging practice encompasses all areas of reviewing professional conduct for which he has received significant recognition.

John has continued to deal with a large number of professional negligence cases, the majority of which settled favourably prior to coming to court and two of which settled in court. Since the House of Lords' decision in Phelps v Hillingdon (2001) AC 611, few such cases have been fought to the finish. The trend continues. However, in other areas, despite a high degree of success in settling favourably administrative law cases, there have been a number of significant developments.

Recent cases:

H v Isle of Wight Council 2001 WL 825780

Allegations that a local education authority had negligently failed to protect a dyslexic pupil from bullying or take adequate steps to maintain and support her educational progress were entirely baseless.

Phelps v Hillingdon LBC [2001] 2 A.C. 619

House of Lords case concerning the duties of a teacher and whether a local education authority could be vicariously liable for the acts of its employees. It was held that there was no justification for a blanket immunity policy in respect of education officers performing the authority's functions with regard to children with special educational needs.

Public and Administrative Law

John is an expert in all aspects of education law, particularly complex cases involving Special Education Needs, and in disciplinary and regulatory proceedings. His wide ranging and successful track record encompasses professional conduct disputes, professional negligence and administrative law cases.

Articles

John Friel and Jim Hirschmann revisit Phelps v The London Borough of Hillingdon [2001] 2 A.C. 619; [2000] E.L.R and examine in particular how duty of care and vicarious liability has evolved as far as education professionals and local authorities are concerned.

View Article

John Friel considers how to make the best use of the recent legal developments on recommendations made under the SENDIST's extended appeals jurisdiction when such recommendations are rejected by a local authority.

View Article

<u>John Friel</u> examines the issue of dealing with disabled adults or disabled young persons who give evidence either as Appellants or where the young person is giving evidence in their own case, although the parent is conducting the appeal on their behalf, or the parent is the Appellant.

View Article

Articles

<u>John Friel</u> examines the issue of dealing with disabled adults or disabled young persons who give evidence either as Appellants or where the young person is giving evidence in their own case, although the parent is conducting the appeal on their behalf, or the parent is the Appellant.

View Article