



Craig Ludlow

Year of Call: 2002

Email Address: craig.ludlow@3pb.co.uk

Telephone: 020 7583 8055

Overview

Craig is an employment and discrimination law specialist. He is consistently ranked as a leading junior in this area of law and is Head of 3PB's employment and discrimination law group. With a successful nationwide practice spanning over 17 years, he is highly experienced in dealing with all types of claims. He provides thorough, detailed, high quality and robust representation for all clients.

He acts for both Respondents and Claimants at all stages of the litigation process, including providing pre-litigation and strategic advice on issues such as: performance management and capability; procedures for dealing with disciplinaries and grievances; TUPE; group and whole site redundancies; occupational health issues; potential whistleblowing allegations; reasonable adjustments for disabled employees; the enforceability of restrictive covenants; and injunctions.

In the course of his practice he has acted for and against numerous multi-national companies, national newspapers, charities, schools, colleges, care homes, GP and dental practices, recruitment consultancies, insurance companies, supermarkets, adult education providers, and a Church. Consequently, he is very familiar with dealing with the CQC, safeguarding issues, the FCA, and relevant professional body and Ombudsman issues which arise from dealing with this type of litigation.

Over the last 10 years he has also developed a sub-specialism in transport work. Through his dealings with this type of predominantly Respondent work, he is very familiar with the specific policies, procedures, and contracts of employment that are sometimes unique to the transport industry. He is also fully conversant with the commercial aspects of how London based transport companies interact with and secure contracts with Transport for London ('TfL') and the standards which the companies must adhere to in order to retain such contracts and routes, which can sometimes raise issues which require sensitive and careful handling.

He gives regular lectures, case law updates, and mock tribunals to solicitors and their business clients, most recently on mental health issues arising in the context of disability discrimination claims. He is also an approved lecturer with ACAS and has given numerous lectures with employees of ACAS around the country on subjects ranging from misconduct dismissals, Tribunal procedure, and most recently a comprehensive 1 day training session on TUPE.

Craig has been appointed to the panel of barristers of the Employment Lawyers Appeals Advice Scheme ('ELAAS'). ELAAS is a service offering pro bono employment law advice to appellants and respondents where there is a preliminary hearing in the Employment Appeal Tribunal with no previous legal representation on record.

He is a member of the Employment Lawyers Association, the Employment Law Bar Association, and is direct access qualified.



Recommendations

"Always thorough and well prepared, with a keen eye for detail." (**Legal 500 2020/Employment/Leading juniors**)

"Very knowledgeable and builds great client rapport." (**Legal 500 2018/19/Employment/Leading juniors - Tier 1**)

What Craig's solicitors and lay clients say about him

"A 'go to' Barrister who installs confidence in his Instructing Solicitors and clients alike, who consistently drives through evidence to understand the facts, ensures the highest attention to detail and presentation of his case whether written or through highly skilled advocacy. His attention to detail and timely actions never fail to impress." (Managing Partner, Baker Law solicitors)

"A firm favourite with clients due to his amiable nature and capabilities, Craig consistently delivers. An experienced, meticulous advocate who inspires confidence and gets results." (Partner, Howard Kennedy solicitors)

"Craig consistently delivers...His advocacy is fearless and persuasive." (Principal, LB Law)

"From my first meeting with Craig I have enjoyed working with him. He is clever, articulate, prepared to listen and displays excellent judgement, resulting in a calming and down to earth manner with clients. His attention to detail and meticulous preparation and presentation of our case was evident at the Tribunal and he was absolutely formidable in cross-examination" (President, Cyient Europe Ltd)

"Craig was very detailed in identifying any problem areas in the witness evidence to be presented to the employment tribunal and had a firm grasp of the documentary evidence. He clearly struck a rapport with my client and helped put them at ease. He provided speedy and helpful advice to me both prior to, and during the course of, a lengthy hearing." (HR Director, Renewable Energy Systems Ltd)

"Craig's attention to detail and focus during a case makes him a formidable opponent as no stone is left unturned during his cross examination. He is also incisive in his questioning of clients and solicitors before a case begins, producing clear and concise advice." (Solicitor, Palmers)

"I found Mr Ludlow's support in preparing for the tribunal to be extremely insightful in anticipating the potential areas for questioning. During the tribunal Mr Ludlow clearly demonstrated his excellent skills in cross examining, being clear to keep focused on the merits of the case and defending the Company's course of actions taken. His attention to detail and in depth knowledge of relevant employment legislation was evident in the manner in which he delivered the summing up of the case. Mr Ludlow is highly articulate with a high level of intelligence that enables him to quickly present the core issues and excellently defend his client's case." (Claimant)

Academic qualifications

- LLB (Hons)
- BVC from BPP Law School, London (Very Competent)

Professional qualifications & appointments

- Accredited Mediation Advocate
- Attorney-General's Junior Counsel to the Crown
- Complaints Officer for the Employment and Discrimination Group

Professional bodies

- Employment Law Association (ELA)
- Employment Law Bar Association (ELBA)

Direct Access

Craig Ludlow is qualified to accept instructions directly from members of the public and professional clients under the Direct Public Access scheme.

Employment and discrimination

Craig is an employment and discrimination law specialist. He is consistently ranked as a leading junior in this area of law. With a successful nationwide practice spanning over 17 years, he is highly experienced in dealing with all types of claims. He provides thorough, detailed, high quality and robust representation for all clients.

He acts for both Respondents and Claimants at all stages of the litigation process, including providing pre-litigation and strategic advice on issues such as: performance management and capability; procedures for dealing with disciplinaries and grievances; TUPE; group and whole site redundancies; occupational health issues; potential whistleblowing allegations; reasonable adjustments for disabled employees; the enforceability of restrictive covenants; and injunctions.

In the course of his practice he has acted for and against numerous multi-national companies, national newspapers, charities, schools, colleges, care homes, GP and dental practices, recruitment consultancies, insurance companies, supermarkets, adult education providers, and a Church. Consequently, he is very familiar with dealing with the CQC, safeguarding issues, the FCA, and relevant professional body and Ombudsman issues which arise from dealing with this type of litigation.

Over the last 10 years he has also developed a sub-specialism in transport work. Through his dealings with this type of predominantly Respondent work, he is very familiar with the specific policies, procedures, and contracts of employment that are sometimes unique to the transport industry. He is also fully conversant with the commercial aspects of how London based transport companies interact with and secure contracts with Transport for London ('TfL') and the standards which the companies must adhere to in order to retain such contracts and routes, which can sometimes raise issues which require sensitive and careful handling.

He gives regular lectures, case law updates, and mock tribunals to solicitors and their business clients, most recently on mental health issues arising in the context of disability discrimination claims. He is also an approved lecturer with ACAS and has given numerous lectures with employees of ACAS around the country on subjects ranging from misconduct dismissals, Tribunal procedure, and most recently a comprehensive 1 day training session on TUPE.

Craig has been appointed to the panel of barristers of the Employment Lawyers Appeals Advice Scheme ('ELAAS'). ELAAS is a service offering pro bono employment law advice to appellants and respondents where there is a preliminary hearing in the Employment Appeal Tribunal with no previous legal representation on record.

He is a member of the Employment Lawyers Association, the Employment Law Bar Association, and is direct access qualified. He was appointed Head of 3PB's employment and discrimination law group in April 2019.

A brief overview of his trial experience in the recent past is set out below:

Skarbek-Cieleck v Holly Rise Consultants Ltd t/a Bluebird Care (Case No: 2303648/2017) - Successfully representing the respondent homecare provider in claims made against it for direct race discrimination and breach of the working time regulations.

Mustafa v Metroline West Ltd (Case No: 3328088/2017) - Successfully representing the respondent bus company in claims made against it for detrimental treatment for trade union activity and unfair dismissal.

Thomas v Youth Hostel of England & Wales Ltd (Case No: 1403380/2018) - Successfully representing the respondent at a preliminary hearing dealing with the issue of employment status, at which it was held that the Claimant was self-employed.

Taylor v EDF Energy Ltd (Case No: 1403518/2018) - Successfully representing the respondent energy company at a preliminary hearing on its application to strike out the claimant's claims for disability discrimination and unfair dismissal.

Brown & Others v (1) London General Transport Services Ltd (2) Blue Triangle Buses Ltd (3) East London Bus & Coach Company Ltd (Case No: 3310904/2014) - Successfully representing one of three respondent bus companies in claims brought by 55 bus drivers for unauthorised deductions from wages arising out of historic claims for meal allowances following multiple TUPE transfers. The trial lasted for 6 days and all claims were dismissed.

Anonymous v East London Bus & Coach Company Ltd (Case No: 3202012/2015) - Successfully representing the Respondent bus company, its Operations Director, and one of its Acting Supervisors in an 8 day trial involving complex claims of detriment because of making various protected public interest disclosures and claims for direct sex discrimination, sexual harassment, and unfair dismissal.

Spinelli v Menzies Aviation (UK) Ltd (Case No: 3200653/2016) - Successfully representing the Claimant in a claim for unfair dismissal against an aviation logistics company involving a breach of airport security. Following the successful conclusion of the case, the Claimant and her husband said of Mr Ludlow: *"From the first conversation over the phone I found Mr Craig Ludlow to be very smart, knowledgeable and honest on the merit of the case. He worked very hard preparing for the case in a short period which happens to be a complicated and sensitive case. Craig was very meticulous in his work; his attention to details was brilliant which helped my case. Craig was outstanding in cross-examining the respondent's witnesses. He was very persuasive in putting the important points across. My husband and I were very impressed by Craig's professionalism that we decided after the hearing to instruct Craig on a direct access basis. Craig was a very smart negotiator prior to the remedies hearing. He was in constant contact with me despite his busy schedule. Craig always responded promptly to my emails and phone calls even during the weekend. His advice was very precious. Craig's contribution, his professionalism and dedication makes the difference between a Barrister and an outstanding Barrister. We are so grateful to you and that would have been the case regardless of the outcome. I would highly recommend you to anybody looking for your services."*

Luke v Venson Automotive Solutions Ltd (Case No: 2301755/2016) - Successfully representing a Respondent fleet management company in a 4 day trial involving claims of detriment made by a male claimant for taking leave for family reasons and constructive unfair dismissal.

Shaikh v Tower Transit Operations Ltd (Case No: 2208392/2016) - Acting for the Respondent bus company at a preliminary hearing and securing deposit orders totalling £7,000 in respect of claims for: direct discrimination on the grounds of race, religion, and disability; discrimination arising from disability; indirect discrimination on the grounds of disability; failing to make reasonable adjustments on the grounds of disability; harassment on the grounds of all of the above protected characteristics; and victimisation.

Coffey v B M Pearson Ltd (Case No: 1400571/2016) - 10 day trial representing the Defendant dental practice in numerous historical whistleblowing claims and a claim for constructive unfair dismissal raising issues involving NHS England and the Care Quality Commission.

Omar v Tower Transit Operations Ltd (Case No: 3201534/2014) - Successfully representing a Respondent bus company in a 5 day trial involving claims for direct race discrimination, religious discrimination, and unfair dismissal. The judgment in the case records variously that: *"We agree with Mr Ludlow for the Respondent that where the Claimant disputes the contents of the notes of meetings he does so selectively when it suits him or his case to do so..."*; *"We think there is some force in the*

suggestion put to the Claimant by Mr Ludlow that he was "making it up as he went along"..."; "Mr Ludlow correctly points out that the Claimant made no reference in this considered occurrence report (which the Claimant headed "Horrid Occurrence") of (i) spitting; (ii) racial abuse; (iii) discrimination on grounds of race or religion; (iv) being accused of praying by Mr Asew or (v) any allegation that his Somali national origins or Muslim religion were relevant"; and "We think Mr Ludlow's observations on behalf of the Respondent as to the Claimant's credibility are well made". Mr Ludlow recently secured a costs order for £12,616 against the Claimant, which was the full amount of the Respondent's legal fees.

Taylor v (1) Endeavour Insurance Services (2) CGNMB LLP – Successfully representing the Claimant in a 3 day preliminary hearing involving TUPE issues and claims for direct sex discrimination and unfair dismissal.

Styles v London United Busways Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent bus company in a 7 day trial involving numerous and wide ranging historical complaints of direct sex discrimination and harassment, as well as claims for unfair dismissal claim, race discrimination, direct disability discrimination, failure to make reasonable adjustments, indirect discrimination on grounds of disability, and discrimination arising from disability.

Atanasiu v Personnel Selection Associates Ltd – Appearing for the Respondent recruitment agency and succeeding in an application to strike out a claim against them for alleged direct sex discrimination and sexual harassment by an employee of their client.

Chasha v Swarthmore Housing Society Ltd – 9 day trial acting for the Respondent care home involving claims of direct race discrimination and unfair dismissal.

Patel v East London Bus & Coach Company Ltd – 4 day trial acting for a Respondent bus company involving claims for direct race discrimination and unfair dismissal.

Anwar v Sir George Monoux College – Successfully representing the Respondent college in an 8 day trial involving claims of direct race discrimination, indirect race discrimination, and unfair dismissal.

Llewellyn-Jones v Cyient Europe Ltd – Successfully represented the Respondent in a 3 day trial involving a claim for constructive unfair dismissal arising out of a mobility clause in the Claimant's contract of employment.

Denton v Renewable Energy Systems Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent in a 3 day trial involving a claim for unfair dismissal arising out of a redundancy process.

Field v Bournemouth Transport Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent bus company in a 2-day trial involving claims for unfair dismissal, age discrimination, and disability discrimination.

Osei-Agyeman v East London Bus & Coach Company Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent bus company in a 3 day trial involving claims for direct race discrimination and unfair dismissal, in which the unsuccessful Claimant was ordered to pay £7,500 towards the Respondent's costs on the basis that the complaints were misconceived and it was unreasonable for him to have continued to pursue his claims.

Miah v Docklands Buses Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent bus company in a 2-day trial involving claims of unfair dismissal, trade union discrimination, unlawful deduction from wages, and breaches of the Working Time Regulations in respect of holidays.

Hamdoun v London General Transport Services Ltd – Successfully representing the Respondent bus company in a 5 day trial involving claims for direct race discrimination and unfair dismissal.

Looking ahead to 2019, Craig has already been instructed to conduct numerous multi-day trials, including:

- Acting for a storage company in a 5 day race discrimination and unfair dismissal case.
- Acting for a multi-national mobile telephone provider in a 5 day disability discrimination and unfair dismissal case.
- Acting for a social media consultancy in a 5 day pregnancy and maternity discrimination, sex discrimination, and unfair dismissal case.
- Acting for a bus company in a 6 day multiple and historic whistleblowing and unfair dismissal case.

- Acting for a Trust in a 7 day trial involving whistleblowing detriment allegations and unfair dismissal, in a case in which Craig has already successfully had multiple detriment allegations and a failure to make reasonable adjustments claim dismissed on jurisdictional grounds at a preliminary hearing (Case No: 2602066/17).
- Acting for the National Register of Public Service Interpreters in a 5 day trial involving claims of whistleblowing detriment and dismissal, health and safety whistleblowing and detriment, age discrimination, disability discrimination, harassment, and unfair dismissal.
- Acting for a warehousing, distribution, transport, and logistics company in a 5 day trial involving claims of victimisation and unfair dismissal.

Publications

Equal Pay: material factor defence - Craig Ludlow reviews (1) Co-Operative Group Limited (2) Pennycook ('R') v Walker (UKEAT/0087/19/RN)

[View Publication](#)

Employment Status (again): Worker, employee or self-employed? Craig Ludlow analyses Stuart Delivery Limited v Mr Warren Augustine (UKEAT/0219/18/BA)

[View Publication](#)

Whistleblowing: Interim relief applications where 'employee' status in dispute - Craig Ludlow analyses Dr Colin Hancock v Ter-Berg and anor (UKEAT/0138/19/BA)

[View Publication](#)

Limitation: What type of "conduct" can form part of a "course of conduct" for EqA 2010 limitation purposes? Craig Ludlow analyses South Western Ambulance Service NHS Trust v Mrs King (UKEAT/0056/19/00)

[View Publication](#)

3PB barristers Craig Ludlow and Sarah Clarke analyse the latest employment law cases, covering March, April and May 2019:

- Time limits and the correct approach to the reasonable practicability of lodging ET claims when the previous fees regime was in place - Mr G Wray v Jewish Care (UKEAT/0193/18/JOJ)
- s.26 Harassment: The correct approach - Mr F Ahmed v The Cardinal Hume Academies (UKEAT/0196/18/RN)
- Criminal & Employer Investigations, Interim Injunctions & Mutual Trust and Confidence - North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust v Andrew Gregg [2019] EWCA Civ 387
- S.15 Disability Discrimination based on mistaken belief - IForce Ltd v E Wood (UKEAT/0167/18/DA)
- Discrimination arising from disability/knowledge of dismissing officer and appeal officer - Baldeh v Churches Housing Association of Dudley & District Limited UKEAT/0290/18/JOJ
- Employee Suspension: Necessity or Reasonable and Proper cause? - The Mayor & Burgesses of the London Borough of Lambeth v Agoreyo [2019] EWCA Civ 322
- Compensatory rest break need not be an uninterrupted 20-minute period, even if such a break was in fact possible to provide - Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd v Crawford [2019] EWCA Civ 269
- TUPE transfer/sole or principal reason for dismissal/proximity of transfer - Hare Wines Ltd v Kaur [2019] EWCA Civ 216

[View Publication](#)

Craig Ludlow analyses the latest employment cases, covering:

- Burden of proof in direct discrimination cases - Efobi v Royal Mail Group Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ 18
- Whistleblowing - failure to comply with legal obligation / public interest test - Ibrahim v HCA International Ltd UKEAT/0105/18/BA
- Equal Pay: Cross-establishment comparators - Asda Stores Ltd v Brierley & Others [2019] EWCA Civ 44
- Practice and Procedure - Case Management - Restricted Reporting Order / Anonymity - Y Ameyaw v

PriceWaterhouseCoopers Services Ltd UKEAT/024418/LA

· Non-solicitation and non-competition clauses in employment contracts - Freshasia Foods Ltd v Jing Lu [2018] EWHC 3644 (Ch)

· Whistleblowing - protected disclosures - teachers - unfair dismissal - migrant work visas - K Gibson v (1) Hounslow London Borough Council (2) Crane Park Primary School UKEAT/0033/18/BA

· EAT Procedure: Reasonable Adjustments on Time Limits for Appeal - J v K & Anor & Equality & Human Rights Commission [2019] EWCA Civ 5

[View Publication](#)